



The Physical Activity Movement and the Definition of Physical Education

Tyler G. Johnson & Lindsey Turner

To cite this article: Tyler G. Johnson & Lindsey Turner (2016) The Physical Activity Movement and the Definition of Physical Education, Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, 87:4, 8-10, DOI: [10.1080/07303084.2016.1142192](https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2016.1142192)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2016.1142192>



Published online: 16 Mar 2016.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 2478



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Citing articles: 2 View citing articles [↗](#)

The Physical Activity Movement and the Definition of Physical Education

Tyler G. Johnson

Lindsey Turner

In recent years the “physical activity movement” has captured the attention of many physical education and health professionals (Young, 2014). In K–12 schools this movement emphasizes the importance of physical activity for all children and youth, and it recommends multiple opportunities for physical activity before, during and after school that include but are not limited to physical education, recess, activity clubs, intramurals, interscholastic sports, activity breaks, and so on.

A distinguishing feature of the physical activity movement in schools is the comprehensive school physical activity program (CSPAP). Under the CSPAP model, physical education teachers possess two primary responsibilities: (1) to provide quality physical education and (2) to serve as the school physical activity leader or champion by planning and administering multiple opportunities for physical activity throughout the school day. These two responsibilities have been labeled “physical education” and “physical activity,” respectively (SHAPE America – Society of Health and Physical Educators, n.d.).

For a brief overview, the physical education responsibility includes teaching students a structured curriculum to help them acquire the skills, knowledge and dispositions necessary to be “wise consumers” of physical activity (SHAPE America, n.d.). Physical education emphasizes “education” and “learning.” The physical activity responsibility includes providing opportunities for students to accumulate moderate, moderate-to-vigorous, or vigorous-intensity physical activity while on school premises. While participation in physical education class can contribute toward student physical



Tyler G. Johnson

activity accumulation, other opportunities for physical activity are needed to help students achieve 60 minutes or more of moderate-intensity physical activity each day.

An assumption continues to persist among some physical education professionals that a focus on physical activity — rather than physical education — thwarts efforts to promote student learning in relation to each of the SHAPE America National Standards for K–12 Physical Education (e.g., skill, knowledge, physical fitness; SHAPE America, 2014; Blankenship, 2013; Lund, 2013). Some argue, too, that a physical education teacher’s primary responsibility should always be to teach physical education rather than to provide physical activity opportunities (Lund, 2013). At the heart of these concerns is the notion that a structured curriculum taught by a certified physical education teacher is *always* a requirement for learning.



Lindsey Turner

We would like to contribute to the discussion about physical education and physical activity. At the outset, we also would like to submit that a structured physical education class taught by a certified physical education teacher is an important and necessary component to facilitate student learning. However, physical education is not the only way students learn skills, knowledge and dispositions pertaining to physical activity. Learning toward each of the National Standards — skill, knowledge, physical fitness, character and appreciation — does not take place exclusively in physical education class. The purpose of this viewpoint article is to reconsider the definition of physical education by highlighting the student learning that can and does occur by way of multiple opportunities for physical activity participation.

First, we outline a philosophical position of skill learning to emphasize “how” students learn skills and why acceptance

of the physical activity movement can contribute to students learning skills. Similar arguments for each of the other National Standards could be made, but here we have chosen to focus on skills. Our reason for doing so is simple: Skill competency is an integral component of becoming physically educated or physically literate (SHAPE America, 2014; Whitehead, 2010). Without skill, a student is unlikely to acquire the confidence and motivation to be physically active. While all of the National Standards are important, many physical education teachers would forfeit some learning or growth in one or more areas to promote better skill learning (Arnold, 1991; Kretchmar, 2005; Lund, 2013).

In the second section of this article, we propose another definition of physical education — a definition that highlights the learning that can and does occur for students when they have opportunities to participate in physical activity. According to this definition, “physical education” can occur when students engage in physical activity, which makes it more synonymous with physical activity than previously recognized.

A Philosophy of Skill Learning

The purpose of this section is to outline a philosophical position that acknowledges the skill learning that occurs for students by way of participation in physical activity, whether in formal or informal settings. Use of the term “physical activity” here refers to participation in aquatics, dance, exercise, games, outdoor recreation, play and sport. The ideas of two philosophers — John Dewey and Gilbert Ryle — will be referenced to describe this philosophical position.

Much has been written about the role of experience or hands-on learning in education. Perhaps no one has addressed this topic more than John Dewey. It was Dewey who observed, “an ounce of experience is better than a ton of theory” (1916, p. 144). Dewey recognized that learning occurs best when students manipulate objects and interact with their environment. While efforts to teach theory surely have a place in education, most students demonstrate increased

motivation and learning when they have opportunities to experience a subject matter firsthand. Under Dewey’s perspective, experience and practice outside of a structured or formal class setting are important components of the educational enterprise, too. In this regard, there may not be a better advocate for the place of physical activity in schools than John Dewey.

Gilbert Ryle, a 20th-century English philosopher, coined the term “knowing-how” as another name for skill that recognizes it as a type of knowledge. Ryle identified that the methods required to develop “know-how” are quite different from those required to develop theory-based knowledge (a type of knowledge he referred to as “knowing-that”). The primary difference in the methods relates to the opportunities students receive to engage in the task at hand. Ryle described the difference by examining how a child learns to play chess. A teacher could offer theory lessons regarding the rules and playing strategies to a would-be chess player, or the would-be player could start playing chess and learn by watching the moves of others and through trial and error. To develop “know-how” or skill, Ryle emphasized the legitimacy of the latter of the two methods. He observed:

A child can learn chess without ever hearing or reading the rules at all. By watching the moves made by others and by noticing which of his own moves were conceded and which were rejected, he could pick up the art of playing correctly while still quite unable to propound the regulations in terms of which ‘correct’ and ‘incorrect’ are defined. We all learned the rules of hunt-the-thimble and hide-and-seek and the elementary rules of grammar and logic in this way. We learn how by practice, schooled indeed by criticism and example, but often quite unaided by any lessons in the theory. (1949, p. 41)

Ryle’s analogy to chess applies especially well to physical activity. It should be noted that the teaching referred to as “schooled indeed by criticism and example” does not always have to come from a teacher. Such teaching can come from a peer, an older student, an adult mentor, and so on. Students can and do

learn skills by way of participating in the actual activity and/or by observing others participating without having formal lessons in the theory of the activity. Physical education teachers should never be ashamed that physical activity participation allows for learning in this more informal, action-oriented way.

From both philosophers — Dewey and Ryle — we learn that experience and practice are mandatory for acquiring skill or know-how. While listening to a teacher explain how to perform a skill or reading a book about how to do something can be helpful, these methods alone remain grossly insufficient for promoting skill learning. Regular experience and practice performing a skill remain unmistakable prerequisites for competency.

This philosophical perspective also suggests that structured physical education lessons taught by a certified physical education teacher are not the only ways students learn skills. Skill learning occurs for many students by way of playing with their peers, observing others who possess a degree of skill competency, and perhaps most importantly by being authentically involved in physical activity without an explicit focus on “learning” physical activity. It may be that some of the best learning happens “incidentally,” when both teachers and students least expect it. These are the opportunities provided by the physical activity movement that should be embraced by *all* physical education teachers because such opportunities have the potential to promote skill learning without an explicit focus on doing so. Most people we know who possess skill became skilled this way.

The only way to develop the “skill necessary to perform a variety of physical activities” is to participate in the activities on a regular basis in variety of settings (SHAPE America, 2014). Solely participating in a structured physical education class — especially due to large class sizes and limited instructional time — is unlikely to lead to substantial skill learning, even when classes are taught by the best teachers. More opportunities for physical activity are better than a well-taught physical education class

alone, even if many of the opportunities are informal. Students can and do learn skills in a variety of other settings, too.

A Second Definition of “Physical Education”

Closely related to this philosophical position of skill learning is the definition of physical education. The term “physical education” can be defined in two primary ways. The first definition identifies physical education as a class or series of classes included in K–12 school curricula specifically designed to guide students toward becoming physically educated. Nearly all professionals, students and the general public refer to this definition — physical education as a class — when the term “physical education” arises in everyday communications.

The second definition, which is being proposed here, identifies “physical education” not as a class but as a *process* or an *occurrence*. It is learning, growth or development in any of the National Standards with no reference to “where” or “how” this learning, growth or development occurs. For example, when a student improves a motor or sport skill or physical fitness, it is appropriate to say that “physical education” has occurred, even if this learning or growth did not happen in a traditional physical education class taught by a certified physical education teacher. Physical education teachers should be more concerned with students actually becoming physically educated than with “where” or “how” it occurs. What matters is that a student experiences growth and learning in each of the National Standards.

For some students, learning may be achieved more readily in a traditional physical education class taught by a certified teacher. For other students it may happen in an after-school activity club or intramural program. For most students, however, a combination of many types of opportunities for physical activity will be needed. The message is that “physical education” can and does occur in many ways and by way of many different types of opportunities for physical activity participation.

The first definition of physical education suggests that physical education ends when school gets out for the summer or when a student graduates. According to the second definition, however, physical education does not end with a formal class. It is an ongoing process — a lifelong journey that includes acquiring a new skill in an intramural program, enjoying a mountain biking ride with friends in an after-school activity club, improving cardiorespiratory fitness during regular activity breaks, and learning about and experiencing the benefits of yoga in a structured class. These are all experiences that define “physical education.” It is a continuous process that deserves and demands attention throughout life. A reasonable way to start this process is by offering students a large quantity of physical activity opportunities before, during and after school as recommended by the physical activity movement.

Limiting the definition of physical education to a class does not do justice to the “physical education” that occurs in many other settings. It is not possible to know exactly when or where “physical education” will happen for students. It can happen anywhere and in unexpected ways. There are many factors that influence where and when a child is likely to experience “physical education.” Sometimes it happens better when a teacher is present; sometimes it does not. Teachers should remember that there can be formal teaching without learning and learning without formal teaching.

Conclusion

While it makes sense for the profession to continue to define physical education in a consistent way to the general public by using the first definition, it seems appropriate for physical education professionals to consider and use this second definition of physical education in professional dialogue and discussions. We invite professionals to remember this second definition — that physical education is also a process or occurrence that happens in many places and in the company of many different people (i.e.,

friends, peers, family members, adult mentors, teachers, coaches, etc.). When we adopt this definition of physical education, it supports the idea that providing a large quantity of physical activity experiences before, during and after school is a legitimate way to help more students develop skill and ultimately become physically educated. More specifically, this definition challenges physical education teachers to assume greater responsibility for being physical activity leaders or champions in the school setting and to establish CSPAPs in their respective schools.

References

- Arnold, P. (1991). The preeminence of skill as educational value in the movement curriculum. *Quest*, 43, 66–77.
- Blankenship, B. (2013). Knowledge/skills and physical activity: Two different coins, or two sides of the same coin? *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 84(6), 5–6.
- Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and education*. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Kretchmar, R. S. (2005). *Practical philosophy of sport and physical activity* (2nd ed.). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Lund, J. (2013). Activity in physical education: Process or product? *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 84(7), 16–17.
- Ryle, G. (1949). *The concept of mind*. New York, NY: Barnes & Noble.
- Society of Health and Physical Educators. (2014). *National standards and grade-level outcomes for K–12 physical education*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Society of Health and Physical Educators. (n.d.). CSPAP: *Comprehensive school physical activity program*. Retrieved from <http://www.shapeamerica.org/cspap/index.cfm>
- Whitehead, M. (2010). *Physical literacy: Through-out the lifecourse*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Young, J. (2014). The physical activity movement comes of age: Introduction. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 85(7), 8.

Tyler G. Johnson (tylerjohnson6@boise.state.edu) is an associate professor in the Department of Kinesiology, and Lindsey Turner is a research associate professor in the College of Education, at Boise State University in Boise, ID.